

Multilingual Multi-document Summarization Task in MultiLing 2015

George Giannakopoulos^{1,2}

¹NCSR Demokritos, Greece
ggianna@iit.demokritos.gr

²SciFY NPC, Greece

September 2015

Task description

An event sequence:

- set of atomic (self-sufficient) event descriptions
 - sequenced in time
 - share actors, location of occurrence or other important factor
-
- Generate a single, fluent, representative summary
 - ...from a set of documents describing an *event sequence*
 - ...language for document set within a given range
 - ...output summary should be (240-)250 words

Dataset

- Human created
- Multi-lingual
- News
- Freely available
- Containing event sequences
- Plain text

Solution

- WikiNews (<http://www.wikinews.org>)
- Translation
- Preprocessing

Corpus update

- Clean-up of previous corpus (10-15 topics / language)
- Limited human evaluation (English and Greek complete so far)

Model summaries

- 3 summarizers per topic and language
- Keep human subjectivity related to important aspects
- Use the minimum possible guidelines
 - Self-sufficient, clearly written text
 - ...providing no external information
 - ...fluent, easily readable language

Model summaries

- 3 summarizers per topic and language
- Keep human subjectivity related to important aspects
- Use the minimum possible guidelines
 - Self-sufficient, clearly written text
 - ...providing no external information
 - ...fluent, easily readable language
- **Clean-up identical human summaries**

Manual Evaluation

- Partial overlap of evaluators with summarizers.
- *No self-assessment.*
- At least three evaluations per summary.

Guidelines

- Read source documents at least once
- Give a grade between 1 and 5 (Overall Responsiveness: OR)
- Content and fluency equally important

Manual Evaluation

- Partial overlap of evaluators with summarizers.
- *No self-assessment.*
- At least three evaluations per summary.
- Reduced to 2 due to overlap in few cases.

Guidelines

- Read source documents at least once
- Give a grade between 1 and 5 (Overall Responsiveness: OR)
- Content and fluency equally important

Methods used

- ROUGE
- N-gram graph Based: MeMoG, AutoSummENG, NPower

Finding

Pre-processing and encoding can cause significant evaluation changes

Participants

SysID	Participant and System name
MMS1	UJF-Grenoble
MMS2	UWB
MMS3	ExB
MMS5	ESI-AllSummarizer
MMS8	IDA-OCCAMS
MMS9	GiauUngVan
MMS11	SCE-Poly
MMS12	BUPT-CIST
MMS13	BGU-MUSE
MMS15	NCSR/SCIFY-NewSumRerank

Table : List of participants

Arabic

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS2	0.2235
a	MMS11a_Litvak_Vanetik_system1_MMS_poly_G	0.2167
a	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.202
ab	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.1875
ab	MMS13a-MUSE	0.1803
ab	MMS13b-MUSE	0.1803
ab	MMS15	0.1801
ab	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.1798
ab	MMS5a	0.172
ab	MMS11b_Litvak_Vanetik_system2_MMS_poly_Kr	0.1704
ab	MMS3	0.1667
ab	MMS5b	0.1666
b	MMS12-CIST	0.106

Table : Tukey HSD on Arabic — Baseline ≈ 0.13

Chinese

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS3	0.1314
ab	MMS5a	0.1038
abc	MMS2	0.1019
abcd	MMS5b	0.08431
bcd	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.04379
cd	MMS12-CIST	0.03614
d	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.02558
d	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.02093
d	MMS15	0.01987

Table : Tukey HSD on Chinese — Baseline ≈ 0.02

Czech

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS2	0.2312
ab	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.2265
ab	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.2186
ab	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.2089
ab	MMS3	0.1971
ab	MMS5a	0.1931
abc	MMS12-CIST	0.1781
bc	MMS5b	0.1673
c	MMS15	0.1292

Table : Tukey HSD on Czech — Baseline \approx 0.15

English

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS2	0.222
a	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.2185
a	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.2159
a	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.2129
a	MMS15	0.2004
a	MMS1a	0.1988
a	MMS1b	0.196
a	MMS5b	0.1944
a	MMS5a	0.1936
a	MMS13b-MUSE	0.1849
a	MMS3	0.1848
a	MMS1c	0.1817
a	MMS13a-MUSE	0.1801
a	MMS11a_Litvak_Vanetik_system1_MMS_poly_G	0.18
a	MMS11b_Litvak_Vanetik_system2_MMS_poly_Kr	0.1794
a	MMS12-CIST	0.1651

Table : Tukey HSD on English — Baseline \approx 0.18

French

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS2	0.2661
ab	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.243
ab	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.2257
ab	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.2241
ab	MMS1a	0.2108
ab	MMS1b	0.2018
ab	MMS3	0.1982
ab	MMS5a	0.1911
ab	MMS1c	0.1863
ab	MMS5b	0.1851
b	MMS15	0.1743
b	MMS12-CIST	0.1639

Table : Tukey HSD on French — Baseline ≈ 0.20

Greek

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.1823
ab	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.1809
ab	MMS2	0.1727
ab	MMS1a	0.1713
ab	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.17
ab	MMS15	0.1698
ab	MMS3	0.1625
ab	MMS12-CIST	0.1513
ab	MMS1c	0.1492
ab	MMS5b	0.1368
ab	MMS1b	0.1337
b	MMS5a	0.1292

Table : Tukey HSD on Greek — Baseline ≈ 0.14

Hebrew

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS15	0.1863
a	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.1859
a	MMS2	0.1832
ab	MMS5a	0.1721
ab	MMS13a-MUSE	0.1693
ab	MMS13b-MUSE	0.1693
ab	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.1683
ab	MMS11a_Litvak_Vanetik_system1_MMS_poly_G	0.1624
ab	MMS5b	0.1596
ab	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.159
ab	MMS3	0.1441
ab	MMS11b_Litvak_Vanetik_system2_MMS_poly_Kr	0.1324
b	MMS12-CIST	0.1214

Table : Tukey HSD on Hebrew — Baseline ≈ 0.14

Hindi

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.1573
a	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.1491
a	MMS2	0.1479
a	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.1461
a	MMS3	0.1377
a	MMS12-CIST	0.1329
b	MMS5a	0.06968
b	MMS5b	0.05332
b	MMS15	0.03744

Table : Tukey HSD on Hindi — Baseline ≈ 0.12

Romanian

Groups, Treatments and means

a	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.2165
a	MMS2	0.2145
a	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.2055
a	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.2051
a	MMS3	0.1779
a	MMS5a	0.1765
a	MMS15	0.1651
a	MMS5b	0.1622
a	MMS12-CIST	0.1557

Table : Tukey HSD on Romanian — Baseline ≈ 0.17

Spanish

a	MMS2	0.2516
a	MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	0.2454
a	MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	0.2297
a	MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	0.2272
a	MMS3	0.2166
a	MMS5a	0.2051
a	MMS15	0.2038
ab	MMS5b	0.1997
b	MMS12-CIST	0.1373

Table : Tukey HSD on Spanish — Baseline ≈ 0.19

(An) Overall ranking

SysID	Total Rank
MMS2	102
MMS8a_FASTTF_OCCAMS_Priority1	92
MMS8b_BasisTF_OCCAMS_Priority2	82
MMS8c_FAST_rank24_OCCAMS_Priority3	76
MMS3	53
MMS5a	52
MMS15	50
MMS5b	36
MMS1a	28
MMS13b-MUSE	24
MMS12-CIST	22
MMS11a_Litvak_Vanetik_system1_MMS_poly_G	21
MMS13a-MUSE	21
MMS1b	19
MMS1c	13
MMS11b_Litvak_Vanetik_system2_MMS_poly_Kr	8

Table : Sum of ranks over all languages — Penalizes participation in few languages

Method

- Online tool (Thank you **SciFY** people and George Kiomourtzis!)
- Evaluation based on human summary (and not source texts)
- Per language sampling of document pairs
- One evaluation per pair
- Statistics meaningful on the language level

Evaluation ongoing

We need your help to complete this! Please contact me, if you want to help in your language.

Check [this website](#).

Current state and challenges

- Doing better than baseline (based on automatic evaluation)
- Language-specific aspects in automatic evaluation can pose problems
- Need for robust language-independent automatic evaluation
- Need more community effort for the human evaluation on several languages

Proposals for the future

- Re-activate evaluation task
- Large-scale crowd-sourced evaluation
- Online services for standardized evaluation on the corpus

More in the planning session...

Thank you!